2007 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report

G.L. Olson, S.R. Smith, G.D. Lacefield and N.L. Taylor

Introduction

Red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and white clover (*Trifolium repens*) are both high-quality forage legumes that are used primarily in mixed stands with tall fescue or orchardgrass for improving yield and quality of pastures. Stands of improved red clover are generally productive for two to three years; white clover can be productive for three to four years. Their high palatability causes them to be overgrazed easily. Red clover is not persistent under heavy, close grazing, but white clover is tolerant to close grazing. Three types of white clover grow in Kentucky: Dutch, intermediate and ladino. The intermediate type has been developed to persist better than the ladino type under pasture or continuous grazing conditions. Ladino white clover has larger leaves and taller growth than the intermediate and Dutch types.

This report summarizes current research on the grazing tolerance of clover varieties when subjected to continuous grazing pressure. Table 10 shows a summary of all white clover varieties tested in Kentucky during the last five years. Go to the UK Forage Extension Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage> to obtain electronic versions of all forage variety testing reports from Kentucky and surrounding states and a large number of other forage publications.

Description of the Tests

Red and white clover tests for grazing were established in Lexington in the fall of 2004, 2005 and 2006. Soils at the test site are well-drained silt loams and are well suited to clover production. Plots were 5 by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design with each variety replicated six times.

Red clover was seeded at the rate of 12 pounds per acre and white clover at 3 pounds per acre into a prepared seedbed using a disk drill. All seed lots were inoculated prior to planting. Plots were grazed continuously beginning the spring after fall seeding. In general, plots were grazed from mid-April to mid-September to a height of 1 to 3 inches. Supplemental hay was fed during periods of slowest growth.

Visual ratings of percent stand were made in the fall several weeks after the cattle were removed to check stand survival after the grazing season. Ratings were made in the spring prior to grazing to check on winter survival and spring growth. Since trials were seeded in rows, persistence ratings were based on density within a row and not on total ground cover. Fertilizers (lime, P, K, and Boron) were applied according to University of Kentucky recommendations.

Results and Discussion

Weather data for Lexington for 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 are presented in Table 1.

Data on percent stand are presented in Tables 2 through 7. Table 4 shows the results of red clover varieties under rotational grazing as compared to the same varieties under continuous grazing (Table 3). Statistical analyses were performed on these data to determine if the apparent differences are truly due to variety or just due to chance. Varieties not significantly different from the highest numerical value in a column are marked with one asterisk (*). To determine if two varieties are truly different, compare the difference between the two varieties to the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at the bottom of the column. If the difference is equal to or greater than the LSD, the varieties are truly different when grown under the conditions at a given location. The Coefficient of Variation (CV), which is a measure of the variability of the data, is included for each column of means. Low variability is desirable, and increased variability within a study results in higher CVs and larger LSDs.

There were differences in persistence between white versus red clover. Red clover entries did not tolerate continuous, heavy grazing (Table 2). In contrast, several white clover entries persisted into the second season under the abusive grazing of these trials. Tables 8 and 9 summarize information about distributors and persistence across years.

Table 10 is a summary of stand persistence data from 2002-2007 of commercial white clover varieties that have been entered in the Kentucky trials. The data is listed as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties entered in each specific trial. In other words, the mean for each trial is 100%-varieties with percentages over 100 persisted better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 persisted less than average. Direct, statistical comparisons of varieties cannot be made using the summary Table 10, but these comparisons do help to identify varieties for further consideration. Varieties that have performed better than average over many years have very stable performance; others may have performed very well in wet years or on particular soil types. These details may influence variety choice, and the information can be found in the yearly reports. See footnote in Table 10 to determine which yearly report to refer to.

Summary

Although these varieties were abused during the growing season, they were allowed to rest and regrow after Sept. 15 to prepare for winter. Research has shown that abusive grazing tests are a good way to sort out differences in grazing tolerance between varieties in a relatively short period of time.

This information should be used along with yield and pest resistance information in selecting the best clover variety for each individual use. It is not recommended that clover be continuously grazed as was done in this trial. While several varieties expressed tolerance to the level of grazing pressure used in these trials, overgrazing greatly reduces yield and therefore profitability of these clovers.

Good management for maximum life from grazing clover would include:

- Allowing clover to become completely established before grazing.
- Using rotational grazing where animals harvest available forage in seven days or less, followed by resting for 28 days before regrazing. Less time is required for white clover.
- Adding any needed fertilizer and lime.
- Removing grazing livestock from clover fields from mid-September to November 1 to replenish root reserves for winter survival.

Authors

- G.L. Olson, Research Specialist, Forages, UK Department of Plant and Soil Sciences
- S.R. Smith, Extension Associate Professor, Forages, UK Department of Plant and Soil Sciences
- G.D. Lacefield, Extension Professor, Forages, UK Department of Plant and Soil Sciences
- N.L. Taylor, Professor, Clover Breeding, UK Department of Plant and Soil Sciences

| | 2004 | | | | | : | 2005 | | 200 | | | | 2007 ² | | | |
|-------|------|------------------|-------|-------|----|-----|-------|--------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| | Te | mp. | Rai | nfall | Te | mp. | Rai | nfall | Te | mp. | Rair | nfall | Te | mp. | Rair | nfall |
| | °F | DEP ¹ | IN | DEP | °F | DEP | IN | DEP | °F | DEP | IN | DEP | °F | DEP | IN | DEP |
| JAN | 30 | -1 | 3.14 | +0.28 | 37 | +6 | 4.35 | +1.49 | 42 | +11 | 4.77 | +1.91 | 37 | +6 | 2.93 | +0.07 |
| FEB | 36 | +1 | 1.32 | -1.89 | 39 | +4 | 1.68 | -1.53 | 36 | +1 | 2.13 | -1.08 | 27 | -8 | 1.83 | -1.38 |
| MAR | 47 | +3 | 3.43 | -0.97 | 41 | -3 | 2.79 | -1.61 | 44 | 0 | 3.05 | -1.35 | 52 | +8 | 1.97 | -2.43 |
| APR | 55 | 0 | 3.06 | -0.82 | 56 | +1 | 3.30 | -0.58 | 59 | +4 | 3.52 | -0.36 | 53 | -2 | 3.87 | -0.01 |
| MAY | 68 | +4 | 9.79 | +5.32 | 61 | -3 | 1.78 | -2.69 | 62 | -2 | 2.99 | -1.48 | 68 | +4 | 1.45 | -3.02 |
| JUN | 72 | 0 | 3.13 | -0.53 | 75 | +3 | 1.33 | -2.33 | 70 | -2 | 1.82 | -1.84 | 74 | +2 | 1.77 | -1.89 |
| JUL | 73 | -3 | 7.65 | +2.65 | 77 | +1 | 3.30 | -1.70 | 76 | 0 | 5.13 | +0.13 | 74 | -2 | 6.90 | +1.90 |
| AUG | 71 | -4 | 2.91 | -1.02 | 78 | +3 | 3.34 | -0.59 | 76 | +1 | 3.23 | -0.70 | 80 | +5 | 2.56 | -1.37 |
| SEP | 68 | 0 | 2.61 | -0.59 | 72 | +4 | 0.59 | -2.21 | 64 | -4 | 9.27 | +6.07 | 72 | +4 | 1.15 | -2.05 |
| ОСТ | 58 | +1 | 5.65 | +3.08 | 58 | +1 | 0.92 | -1.65 | 54 | -3 | 4.88 | +2.31 | 63 | +6 | 5.28 | +2.71 |
| NOV | 49 | +4 | 6.29 | +2.90 | 47 | +2 | 1.54 | -1.85 | 47 | +2 | 1.78 | -1.61 | 46 | +1 | 2.86 | -0.53 |
| DEC | 36 | 0 | 3.20 | -0.78 | 32 | -4 | 2.19 | -1.79 | 42 | +6 | 2.45 | -1.53 | | | | |
| Total | | | 52.18 | +7.63 | | | 27.51 | -17.04 | | | 45.02 | +0.47 | | | 32.57 | -8.00 |

Table 1. Temperature and rainfall at Lexington, Kentucky in 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007.

¹ DEP is departure from the long-term average.

² 2007 data is for 11 months through November.

| | | Seedling | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Vigor ¹ | 20 | 005 | 20 | 06 | 20 | 07 | | | | |
| Variety | Туре | Nov 8, 2004 | Apr 8 | Oct 31 | Apr 4 | Oct 23 | Mar 30 | Oct 25 | | | | |
| Commercial Va | rieties-Available f | or Farm Use | | | | | | | | | | |
| lvory | Intermediate | 3.5 | 58 | 88 | 93 | 79 | 45 | 43* | | | | |
| Patriot | Intermediate | 3.0 | 60 | 79 | 80 | 63 | 45 | 43* | | | | |
| Barblanca | Intermediate | 3.3 | 57 | 91 | 94 | 88 | 40 | 37* | | | | |
| Durana | Dutch | 3.2 | 55 | 83 | 83 | 58 | 40 | 30 | | | | |
| Colt | Intermediate | 3.2 | 60 | 84 | 87 | 66 | 49 | 28 | | | | |
| Alice | Intermediate | 3.0 | 58 | 71 | 68 | 60 | 51 | 27 | | | | |
| Seminole | Ladino | 3.7 | 29 | 75 | 68 | 48 | 27 | 25 | | | | |
| Experimental \ | /arieties | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AGRTR219 | Intermediate | 3.3 | 62 | 88 | 90 | 62 | 38 | 43* | | | | |
| CW7000 | Ladino | 4.3 | 62 | 82 | 80 | 68 | 46 | 43* | | | | |
| KYSynthetic | Intermediate | 3.2 | 67 | 87 | 89 | 75 | 57 | 40* | | | | |
| GA178 | Ladino | 4.7 | 65 | 80 | 83 | 68 | 38 | 38* | | | | |
| AGRTR216 | Intermediate | 3.0 | 48 | 84 | 83 | 60 | 47 | 26 | | | | |
| AGRTR218 | Intermediate | 3.2 | 41 | 77 | 73 | 58 | 32 | 26 | | | | |
| AGRTR217 | Intermediate | 4.2 | 52 | 63 | 63 | 49 | 35 | 22 | | | | |
| AGRTAxA101 | white x caucasian | 2.5 | 68 | 51 | 52 | 31 | 28 | 16 | | | | |
| AGRTAxA102 | white x caucasian | 2.8 | 58 | 55 | 48 | 24 | 17 | 8 | | | | |
| GA1RC | red | 4.3 | 25 | 43 | 38 | 9 | 8 | 1 | | | | |
| GA-CAG-S | red | 5.0 | 27 | 45 | 27 | 13 | 8 | 0 | | | | |
| ZR009R | red | 4.0 | 21 | 48 | 40 | 13 | 8 | 0 | | | | |
| ZR003R | red | 4.2 | 28 | 53 | 48 | 17 | 8 | 0 | | | | |
| 14.000 | | 26 | 50 | 71 | (0) | 50 | 22 | 25 | | | | |
| Mean | | 3.6 | 50 | 71 | 69 | 50 | 33 | 25 | | | | |
| CV,% | | 15.0 | 37 | 14 | 15 | 29 | 33 | 38 | | | | |
| LSD,0.05 | | 0.6 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 11 | | | | |

Table 2. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red and white clover varieties sown September 3, 2004 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 3. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 7, 2005 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

| | Seedling | Percent stand | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Vigor ¹ | 20 | 20 | 07 | | | | | | | |
| Variety | Nov 7, 2005 | Apr 17 | Nov 7 | Mar 30 | Oct 16 | | | | | | |
| Commercial Va | rieties-Availa | ble for l | Farm U | se | | | | | | | |
| Freedom! MR | 2.8 | 78 | 37 | 25 | 1.7* | | | | | | |
| Kenland | 2.5 | 80 | 40 | 23 | 1.2* | | | | | | |
| Common C | 2.7 | 71 | 58 | 38 | 1.0 | | | | | | |
| AA117ER | 3.3 | 83 | 48 | 37 | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Kenton | 3.3 | 88 | 38 | 33 | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Triple Trust 350 | 3.2 | 81 | 62 | 38 | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Freedom! | 3.5 | 84 | 48 | 40 | 0.7 | | | | | | |
| Kenway | 3.5 | 82 | 25 | 23 | 0.7 | | | | | | |
| Common A | 3.2 | 77 | 36 | 25 | 0.5 | | | | | | |
| Experimental V | arieties | | | | | | | | | | |
| RC 0002 | 3.7 | 86 | 38 | 37 | 2.2* | | | | | | |
| RC 0303 | 2.8 | 78 | 52 | 30 | 1.5* | | | | | | |
| RC 0201 | 2.5 | 81 | 40 | 25 | 1.2* | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 3.1 | 81 | 44 | 31 | 1.1 | | | | | | |
| CV,% | 25.3 | 15 | 37 | 45 | 84.6 | | | | | | |
| LSD,0.05 | 0.9 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 1.1 | | | | | | |

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 4. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 22, 2005 in a cattle rotational grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

| | Seedling Percent Stand | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Vigor ¹ | 20 | 06 | 20 | 07 | | | | | | | | |
| Variety | Nov 7, 2005 | Apr 17 | Oct 20 | Mar 30 | Oct 16 | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial Var | ieties-Availab | ole for Fa | rm Use | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freedom! | 3.7 | 89 | 96 | 84 | 28* | | | | | | | | |
| AA117ER | 2.7 | 86 | 95 | 87 | 27* | | | | | | | | |
| Kenland | 2.8 | 88 | 98 | 88 | 23* | | | | | | | | |
| Freedom! MR | 3.2 | 86 | 94 | 83 | 21 | | | | | | | | |
| Kenton | 3.8 | 89 | 95 | 86 | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Triple Trust 350 | 2.8 | 90 | 95 | 83 | 13 | | | | | | | | |
| Kenway | 2.7 | 88 | 93 | 84 | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| Common A | 3.3 | 85 | 88 | 65 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Common C | 2.7 | 75 | 83 | 60 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Experimental V | arieties | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RC 0201 | 3.0 | 79 | 91 | 76 | 33* | | | | | | | | |
| RC 0303 | 2.8 | 84 | 95 | 89 | 29* | | | | | | | | |
| RC 0002 | 3.8 | 89 | 94 | 89 | 28* | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | | | 01 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 3.1 | 86 | 93 | 81 | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| CV,% | 23.8 | 13 | 6 | 16 | 52 | | | | | | | | |
| LSD,0.05 | 0.9 | 13 | 6 | 15 | 12 | | | | | | | | |

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

* Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 5. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 6, 2006 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky

| J | | j , | | / | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Seedling Percent S | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vigor ¹ | 2006 | 20 | 07 | | | | | | | | |
| Variety | Oct 25, 2006 | Oct 25 | Oct 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cinnamon Plus | 3.8 | 94 | 95 | 84* | | | | | | | | |
| Kenland | 3.8 | 95 | 98 | 79* | | | | | | | | |
| Freedom! | 4.0 | 91 | 95 | 68 | | | | | | | | |
| Common O | 4.7 | 96 | 98 | 60 | | | | | | | | |
| Experimental V | arieties | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RC 9806 | 4.5 | 95 | 97 | 93* | | | | | | | | |
| RC 0403G | 3.8 | 91 | 99 | 92* | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 4.1 | 94 | 97 | 79 | | | | | | | | |
| CV,% | 14.0 | 4 | 3 | 16 | | | | | | | | |
| LSD,0.05 | 0.7 | 5 | 3 | 15 | | | | | | | | |

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

* Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 6. Stand persistence of white clover varieties sown March 17, 2006 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky,

| | | Percent Stand | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2006 | 20 | 07 | | | | | | |
| Variety | Туре | Oct 20 | Mar 30 | Oct 16 | | | | | | |
| Commercia | Varieties-Ava | ilable fo | r Farm L | lse | | | | | | |
| Patriot | Intermediate | 88 | 81 | 80* | | | | | | |
| Colt | Intermediate | 89 | 84 | 78* | | | | | | |
| Will | Ladino | 76 | 78 | 68* | | | | | | |
| Durana | Dutch | 85 | 75 | 61 | | | | | | |
| Resolute | Intermediate | 85 | 86 | 59 | | | | | | |
| Alice | Intermediate | 80 | 73 | 57 | | | | | | |
| Barblanca | Intermediate | 82 | 50 | 53 | | | | | | |
| RegalGraze | Ladino | 80 | 73 | 49 | | | | | | |
| Kopu ll | Intermediate | 70 | 65 | 45 | | | | | | |
| Regal | Ladino | 66 | 57 | 33 | | | | | | |
| Mean | | 80 | 72 | 52 | | | | | | |
| CV,% | | 15 | 19 | 25 | | | | | | |
| LSD,0.05 | | 14 | 16 | 17 | | | | | | |

* Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

| | | Seedling | Pe | rcent Sta | nd |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | | Vigor ¹ | 2006 | 20 | 07 |
| Variety | Туре | Oct 25, 2006 | Oct 25 | Mar 30 | Oct 15 |
| Commercia | l Varieties-Ava | ilable for Farn | n Use | | |
| Crescendo | Ladino | 4.0 | 94 | 91 | 94* |
| Will | Ladino | 3.3 | 93 | 92 | 91* |
| Patriot | Intermediate | 2.5 | 86 | 75 | 91* |
| RegalGraze | Ladino | 4.3 | 95 | 90 | 91* |
| Durana | Dutch | 1.7 | 86 | 75 | 90* |
| Insight | Ladino | 5.0 | 93 | 91 | 90* |
| Resolute | Intermediate | 2.8 | 87 | 83 | 90* |
| Colt | Intermediate | 1.5 | 80 | 83 | 88 |
| Kopu II | Intermediate | 3.5 | 94 | 82 | 86 |
| Regal | Ladino | 2.8 | 89 | 86 | 84 |
| Barblanca | Intermediate | 3.7 | 91 | 43 | 83 |
| Seminole | Ladino | 4.0 | 94 | 71 | 83 |
| Experiment | al Varieties | | | | |
| CW 204 | Ladino | 3.0 | 93 | 91 | 93* |
| CW 9501 | Ladino | 3.7 | 90 | 83 | 87 |
| Mean | | 3.3 | 90 | 82 | 89 |
| CV,% | | 20.7 | 7 | 13 | 4 |
| LSD,0.05 | | 0.8 | 8 | 12 | 4 |

Table 7. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 8, 2006 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most

vigorous seedling growth.

Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

| Table 8. Summary of persistence of white clover varieties under heavy grazing pressure across years at Lexington, Kentucky. |
|---|
|---|

| | | | 2004 ¹ Apr Oct Apr Oct Mar O | | | | | | | 2006 ³ | | 20 | 06 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | Apr | Oct | Apr | Oct | Mar | Oct | Oct | Mar | Oct | Mar | Oct |
| Variety | Туре | Proprietor/KY Distributor | 20 | 05 ² | 20 | 06 | 20 | 07 | 2006 | 20 | 07 | 20 | 07 |
| Commercial Varieties-Ava | ilable for Farm | Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alice | Intermediate | Barenbrug USA | * | x ⁵ | x | x | * | x | * | * | х | | |
| Barblanca | Intermediate | Barenbrug USA | * | * | * | * | х | * | * | х | х | х | x |
| Colt | Intermediate | Seed Research of Oregon | * | * | * | x | * | x | * | * | * | * | x |
| Crescendo | Ladino | Seed Research of Oregon | | | | | | | | | | * | * |
| Durana | Dutch | Pennington Seed | * | * | * | x | * | x | * | * | х | х | * |
| Insight | Ladino | Allied Seed | | | | | | | | | | * | * |
| lvory | Intermediate | Cebeco International Seeds, Inc. | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | |
| Kopu II | Intermediate | Ampac Seed | | | | | | | х | х | х | * | x |
| Patriot | Intermediate | Pennington Seed | * | * | x | х | * | * | * | * | * | х | * |
| Regal | Ladino | Public | | | | | | | х | х | x | * | x |
| RegalGraze | Ladino | Cal/West Seeds | | | | | | | * | * | х | * | * |
| Resolute | Intermediate | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | * | * | х | * | * |
| Seminole | Ladino | Saddle Butte Ag, Inc | х | x | х | x | * | x | | | | х | х |
| Will | Ladino | Allied Seed | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Experimental Varieties | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AGRTAxA101 ⁴ | | AgResearch(USA) Limited | * | x | x | x | х | x | | | | | |
| AGRTAxA102 ⁴ | | AgResearch(USA) Limited | * | x | x | x | х | x | | | | | |
| AGRTR 216 | Intermediate | AgResearch(USA) Limited | * | * | * | х | * | x | | | | | |
| AGRTR 217 | Intermediate | AgResearch(USA) Limited | * | x | x | x | х | x | | | | | |
| AGRTR 218 | Intermediate | AgResearch(USA) Limited | х | x | x | x | х | x | | | | | |
| AGRTP 219 | Intermediate | AgResearch(USA) Limited | * | * | * | x | х | * | | | | | |
| CW204 | Ladino | Cal/West Seeds | | | | | | | | | | * | * |
| CW7000 | Ladino | Univ. of Georgia | * | * | x | x | * | * | | | | | |
| CW9501 | Ladino | Cal/West Seeds | | | | | | | | | | * | х |
| GA178 | Ladino | University of Georgia | * | * | * | x | х | * | | | | | |
| KY Synthetic (Intermediate) | | KY Agric. Exper. Station | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | |

¹ Establishment year.

¹ Establishment year.
² Date of rating of percent stand
³ This trial was planted in the spring of 2006 due to poor establishment from the fall of 2005 planting.
⁴ Cross between white and caucasian clover.
⁵ x in the block indicates the variety was in the test but the stand survival was significantly less than the most persistent white clover variety. An open block indicates the variety was not in the test.
* Not significantly different from the most persistent white clover variety.

| Table 9. Summary of persistence of red clover varieties under heavy grazing pressure and rotational grazing across years at |
|---|
| Lexington, Kentucky. |

| | | | | | | (| Conti | nuou | s | | | | | | Rota | iona | 1 |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
| | | | | 20 | 04 ¹ | | | | 20 | 05 | | 20 | 06 | | 20 | 05 | |
| | | Apr | Oct | Apr | Oct | Mar | Oct | Apr | Nov | Mar | Oct | Mar | Oct | Apr | Oct | Mar | Oct |
| Variety | Proprietor/KY Distributor | 20 | 05² | 20 | 06 | 20 | 07 | 20 | 06 | 20 | 07 | | | 20 | 06 | 20 | 07 |
| Commercial Varie | ties-Available for Farm Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA117ER | ABI Alfalfa | | | | | | | * | * | * | X ³ | | | * | * | * | * |
| Cinnamon Plus | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | | | | | х | * | | | | |
| Common A | Public | | | | | | | * | х | * | х | | | * | х | х | х |
| Common C | Public | | | | | | | * | * | * | х | | | х | х | х | x |
| Common O | Public | | | | | | | | | | | * | х | | | | |
| Freedom! | Barenbrug USA | | | | | | | * | * | * | х | х | х | * | * | * | * |
| Freedom! MR | Barenbrug USA | | | | | | | * | х | * | * | | | * | * | * | x |
| Kenland (certified) | Public | | | | | | | * | х | х | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Kenton | Production Services Int'l | | | | | | | * | х | * | х | | | * | * | * | х |
| Kenway | Smith Seed Services | | | | | | | * | х | х | х | | | * | * | * | х |
| Triple Trust 350 | ABI Alfalfa | | | | | | | * | * | * | х | | | * | * | * | x |
| Experimental Vari | ieties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GAc1RC | University of Georgia | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| GA-CAG-S | University of Georgia | * | * | x | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| RC0002 | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | * | х | * | * | | | * | * | * | * |
| RC0201 | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | * | х | * | * | | | * | х | * | * |
| RC0303 | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | * | * | * | * | | | * | * | * | * |
| RC 0403G | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | | | | | * | * | | | | |
| RC 9806 | FFR/Southern States | | | | | | | | | | | * | * | | | | |
| ZR003R | ABI Alfalfa, Inc. | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| ZR009R | ABI Alfalfa, Inc. | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | |

¹ Establishment year.

² Date of rating of percent stand

³ x in the block indicates the variety was in the test but the stand survival was significantly less than the most persistent red clover variety. An open block indicates the variety was not in the test.

Not significantly different from the most persistent red clover variety.

| | | | 2002 ^{1,2} | 2004 | 2006 ³ | 2006 | Mean ⁴ |
|------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| Variety | Туре | Proprietor | 2yr⁵ | 3yr | 2yr | 1yr | (#trials) |
| Alice | Intermediate | Barenbrug USA | | 81 | 98 | | 90(2) |
| Barblanca | Intermediate | Barenbrug USA | | 111 | 91 | 94 | 99(3) |
| Colt | Intermediate | Seed Research of OR | | 84 | 134 | 100 | 106(3) |
| Crescendo | Ladino | Cal/West | 84 | | | 106 | 95(2) |
| Durana | Dutch | Pennington | | 90 | 105 | 102 | 99(3) |
| Insight | Ladino | Allied Seed | | | | 102 | |
| lvory | Intermediate | Cebeco | 132 | 129 | | | 131(2) |
| Kopu II | Intermediate | Ampac Seed | | | 77 | 97 | 87(2) |
| Patriot | Intermediate | Pennington | | 129 | 137 | 103 | 123(3) |
| Regal | Ladino | Public | 92 | | 57 | 95 | 81(3) |
| RegalGraze | Ladino | Cal/West | | | 84 | 103 | 94(2) |
| Resolute | Intermediate | FFR/Southern States | | | 101 | 102 | 102(2) |
| Seminole | Ladino | Saddle Butte Ag. Inc. | | 75 | | 94 | 85(2) |
| Tillman II | Ladino | Caudill Seed | 92 | | | | - |
| Will | Ladino | Allied Seed | | | 117 | 103 | 110(2) |

Table 10. Summary of Kentucky White Clover Grazing trials 2002-2007 (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the trial planted in 2002 was grazed for 2 years so the final persistence report would be "2004 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage website at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ This trial was replanted in the spring of 2006 due to poor establishment in the fall of 2005

⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁵ Number of years of data.



Mention or display of a trademark, proprietary product, or firm in text or figures does not constitute an endorsement and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products or firms.