

2012 Long-Term Summary of Kentucky Forage Variety Trials

S.R. Smith, G.L. Olson, and G. D. Lacefield, Plant and Soil Sciences

Introduction

Forage crops occupy approximately 7 million acres in Kentucky. Forages provide a majority of the nutrition for beef, dairy, horse, goat, sheep, and wildlife in the state. In addition, forage crops play an environmentally friendly role in soil conservation, water quality, and air quality. There are over 60 forage species adapted to the climate and soil conditions of Kentucky. Only 10 to 12 of these species occupy the majority of the acreage, but within these species there is a tremendous variation in varieties.

This publication was developed to provide a user-friendly guide to choosing the best variety for producers based on a summary of forage yield and grazing tolerance trials conducted in Kentucky over the past 10 to 12 years. Detailed variety reports and forage management publications are available from your local county agent or at the University of Kentucky forage Web site at www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage by clicking on the "Forage Variety Trial" link.

Species in This Report

Red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) is a high-quality, short-lived, perennial legume that is used in mixed or pure stands for pasture, hay, silage, green chop, soil improvement, and wildlife habitat. This species is adapted to a wide range of climatic and soil conditions and therefore is versatile as a forage crop. Stands of improved varieties are generally productive for two to three years, with the highest yields occurring in the year following establishment. Red clover is used primarily as a renovation legume for grass pastures. It is a dominant forage legume in Kentucky because it is relatively easy to establish and has high forage quality and high yield.

White clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) is a low-growing, perennial pasture legume with white flowers. It differs from red clover in that the stems (stolons) grow along the surface of the soil and can form adventitious roots that may lead to the development of new plants. White clover is classified into ladino, Dutch, and intermediate types. The intermediate types combine the higher yield of ladino with the grazing tolerance of the Dutch types.

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) has historically been the highest yielding, highest quality forage legume grown in Kentucky. It forms the basis of Kentucky's cash hay enterprise and is an important component in dairy, horse, beef, and sheep diets. Choosing a good alfalfa variety is a key step in establishing a stand of alfalfa. The choice of variety can impact yield, stand persistence, and insect and disease resistance.

Orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*) is a high-quality, productive, cool-season grass that is well adapted to Kentucky conditions. This grass is used for pasture, hay, green chop, and silage, but it requires better management than tall fescue for higher yields, quality, and long stand life. It produces an open, bunch-type sod, making it very compatible with alfalfa or red clover as a pasture and hay crop or as habitat for wildlife.

Tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) is a productive, well-adapted, persistent, soil-conserving, cool-season grass that is grown on approximately 5.5 million acres in Kentucky. This grass, used for both hay and pasture, is the forage base for most of Kentucky's livestock enterprises, particularly beef cattle. The predominant variety, KY31, was developed in Kentucky for long-term persistence but contains a fungal endophyte that produces alkaloids detrimental to livestock production and reproductive health. Endophyte-free tall

List of Tables

- Table 1. White Clover Yield (page 2)
- Table 2. Red Clover Yield (pages 4-5)
- Table 3. Alfalfa Yield (pages 6-7)
- Table 4. Tall Fescue Yield (pages 8-9)
- Table 5. Orchardgrass Yield (pages 10-11)
- Table 6. Timothy Yield (page 12)
- Table 7. Kentucky Bluegrass Yield (page 13)
- Table 8. Annual Ryegrass Yield (pages 14-15)
- Table 9. Perennial Ryegrass Yield (page 16)
- Table 10. Festulolium Yield (page 17)
- Table 11. Sudangrass Yield (page 18)
- Table 12. Sorghum-Sudangrass Yield (page 18)
- Table 13. Teff Yield (page 19)
- Table 14. White Clover Grazing (page 19)
- Table 15. Alfalfa Grazing (page 20)
- Table 16. Tall Fescue Grazing (page 21)
- Table 17. Orchardgrass Grazing (page 22)
- Table 18. Perennial Ryegrass Grazing (page 23)
- Table 19. Tall Fescue Horse Grazing (page 23)
- Table 20. Orchardgrass Horse Grazing (page 24)

fescue varieties produce no detrimental alkaloids, but UK research shows that they are less persistent than KY31. New novel endophyte tall fescue varieties contain safe endophytes, which enhance stand persistence but cause no detrimental animal symptoms.

Annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) and **perennial ryegrass** (*Lolium perenne*) are high-quality, productive, cool-season grasses used in Kentucky. Both have exceptionally high seedling vigor and are highly palatable to livestock. Annual ryegrasses are increasing in use across Kentucky as more winter-hardy varieties are released and promoted. Annual ryegrass is productive for four to six months and is used primarily for late fall and early to late spring pasture. Perennial ryegrass can be used as a short-lived hay or pasture plant and has growth characteristics similar to tall fescue. It is less persistent than other cool-season grass species. There are both diploid (two sets of chromosomes) and tetraploid (four sets of chromosomes) varieties of perennial ryegrass. Tetraploids have larger tillers and seedheads and wider leaves. Tetraploid types tend to be taller and less dense than diploid types, even in early stages of

regrowth. Diploid types produce more tillers, have better stand persistence, and are more tolerant to heavy grazing.

Timothy (*Phleum pratense*) is the fourth most widely sown cool-season perennial grass used in Kentucky for forage after tall fescue, orchardgrass, and Kentucky bluegrass. Timothy is primarily harvested as hay, particularly for horses. In Kentucky, timothy behaves like a short-lived perennial, with stands lasting two to four years.

Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) is a high-quality, highly palatable, long-lived pasture plant with limited use for hay. It tolerates close, frequent grazing better than most grasses. It has low yields and low summer production and becomes dormant and brown during hot, dry summers. Kentucky bluegrass is best suited

for pastures where a dense sod is more important than high-forage production (e.g., horse pastures).

Festuloliums are hybrids between various fescues and ryegrasses with higher quality than tall fescue and improved stand survival over perennial ryegrass. Their use in Kentucky is limited because they do not survive as long as tall fescue.

Sudangrass (*Sorghum bicolor* ssp. *drummondii*) is a rapidly growing annual grass in the sorghum family. It is medium yielding and well suited for grazing or hay because of its smaller stem size. Sudangrass regrows quickly after harvest and can be grazed several times during summer and early fall.

Sorghum x sudangrass hybrids are more vigorous and slightly higher yielding than sudangrass. A larger stem size

makes these hybrids less useful for hay; therefore, they are commonly used for baleage and grazing.

Teff, also referred to as Summer Lovegrass (*Eragrostis tef*), is a warm-season annual grass native to Ethiopia and has been used as a grain crop for thousands of years. Recently, there has been considerable interest in teff as a forage crop. It is high quality, palatable, and fine stemmed and therefore makes excellent hay.

Important Selection Considerations

Local adaptation and seasonal yield.

Choose a variety/species that is adapted to your region of Kentucky, as indicated by good performance across years and locations in replicated yield trials. Also,

Table 1. Summary of Kentucky white clover yield trials 1998-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington											Princeton			Quicksand			Mean ³ (#trials)
			02 ^{1,2}	03	04	06	07	08	09	10	11	03	05	98	03	03	2yr			
			3yr ⁴	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	2yr			
Advantage	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.		125														106	116(2)	
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA																	—	
Avoca	Dutch	DLF International Seeds			59													82	71(2)	
Barblanca	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		92															—	
CA ladino	Ladino	Public	100		124										103		100	98	105(5)	
Colt	Intermediate	Seed Research of OR		90		57											114		87(3)	
Common	Dutch	Public	100				53					98				78			82(4)	
Companion	Ladino	Oregro Seeds						87	94	92									91(3)	
Crescendo	Ladino	Cal/West Seeds	105			140										109			118(3)	
Crusader II	Intermediate	Allied Seed, L.L.C.									90	62							76(2)	
Excel	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.			100														—	
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington		94		94	88	82	85	97	82	87	83		101		101	95	89(11)	
GWC-AS10	Ladino	Ampac Seed																	—	
Insight	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.				128													—	
Ivory	Intermediate	Cebeco	96																—	
Ivory II	Intermediate	DLF International Seeds					86				101	104							97(3)	
Jumbo	Ladino	Ampac Seed	93																—	
Jumbo II	Ladino	Ampac Seed									122								—	
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed	97			97	95	95	103	96	86								96(7)	
KY Select	Intermediate	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc										107							—	
Ocoee	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.									89	92							91(2)	
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington		103		87	104	113	95	117	112	104	100		98	99	103(11)			
Pinnacle	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.				120									111				116(2)	
Rampart	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.					80	89	97	83								87(4)		
Regal	Ladino	Public	99	96	92		125	100	116	118	128	107	100	100	104				107(12)	
RegalGraze	Ladino	Cal/West Seeds					127	140	102	103									118(4)	
Resolute	Intermediate	FFR/Southern States					63												—	
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc			108	70	79												86(3)	
Super Haifa	Intermediate	Allied Seed, L.L.C.				77													—	
Tillman II	Ladino	Caudill Seed	103																—	
WBDX	Dutch	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc										80							—	
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed, L.L.C.	107				162	150	132	107	119	124		136					130(8)	

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2002 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2004 Red and White Clover Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

look for varieties that are productive in the desired season of use. For management recommendations, check with your county Extension agent or see the forage Web site at www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage.

The following comprehensive bulletins may be especially useful:

- Grain and Forage Crop Guide for Kentucky (AGR-18)
- Establishing Forage Crops (AGR-64)
- Rotational Grazing (ID-143)
- Forage Identification and Use Guide (AGR-175)
- Lime and Fertilizer Recommendations (AGR-1)

Seed quality. Buy premium-quality seed that is high in germination and purity and free from weed seed. Buy certified seed or proprietary seed of an improved variety. An improved variety is one that has performed well in independent trials. Other information on the label will include the test date (which must be within the past nine months), the level of germination, and the amount of other crop and weed seed. Order seed well in advance of planting time to assure that it will be available when needed.

Description of the Tests

Yield trials. Plots were seeded at the recommended seeding rate per acre and were planted into a prepared seedbed with a disk drill. Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Grass plots were fertilized with 60 pounds of actual N per acre in March, after the first cutting, and again in late summer for a total of 180 pounds per acre per season. Other fertilizers (lime, P, and K) were applied as needed according to the University of Kentucky soil test recommendations. The tests were harvested using a sickle-type forage plot harvester to simulate a spring cut hay/summer grazing/fall stockpile management system. Fresh weight samples were taken at each harvest to calculate percent dry matter production. Management practices for establishment, fertility, weed control, and harvest timing were in accordance with University of Kentucky recommendations.

Grazing trials. Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design, with each variety replicated six

times. Plots were seeded at the recommended seeding rate per acre and were planted into a prepared seedbed using a disk drill. Grazing was continuous from April to October.

Plots were grazed down to below 4 inches quickly and were maintained at 2 to 4 inches (sometimes less) for the remainder of the grazing season. Supplemental hay was fed during periods of slowest growth. Visual ratings of percent stand were made in the fall several weeks after the cattle were removed to check stand survival after the grazing season and in the spring prior to grazing to check on winter survival and spring growth. Because trials were seeded in rows, persistence ratings were based on density within a row and not total ground cover. Grass plots were fertilized with 60 pounds of actual N per acre in the spring and 30 to 40 pounds of actual N in early November after cattle or horses were removed from the pasture. Other fertilizers (lime, P, and K) were applied as needed according to the University of Kentucky soil test recommendations. Management practices for establishment, fertility, and weed control were in accordance with University of Kentucky recommendations.

Results and Discussion

These tables summarize long-term yield and stand persistence data of commercial varieties that have been entered in the University of Kentucky trials. The data are listed as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties entered in each specific trial. In other words, the mean for each trial is 100 percent; varieties with percentages over 100 yielded better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 yielded lower than average. For the grazing trials, varieties with percentages over 100 persisted better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 persisted less than average. Also in the grazing trials, the alfalfa varieties were compared to Alfagraz, and the fescue varieties were compared to KY31+ instead of the mean of all the commercial varieties. In the horse grazing trials, the fescue varieties were compared to KY31+ instead of the mean of all the commercial varieties. Direct, statistical comparisons of varieties cannot be made using the summary tables, but these comparisons

do help to identify varieties for further consideration. Varieties that have performed better than average over many years and at several locations have very stable performance; others may have performed very well in wet years or on particular soil types. These details may influence variety choice, and the information can be found in the yearly reports. To determine to which yearly report to refer, see the footnote in each table.

Summary

Selecting a good forage variety is an important first step in establishing a productive stand of forage. Proper management, beginning with seedbed preparation and continuing throughout the life of the stand, is necessary for even the highest-yielding variety to produce to its genetic potential. For more detailed information on yield and grazing tolerance within species, go to individual 2012 reports on the forage Web site. See below for specific reports. The forage Web site contains all reports from 2001 through 2012.

Yield and Grazing Tolerance Reports

Reports can be found at www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage/ForageVarietyTrials2.htm.

- 2012 Alfalfa Report (PR-643)
- 2012 Red and White Clover Report (PR-644)
- 2012 Orchardgrass Report (PR-645)
- 2012 Tall Fescue and Bromegrass Report (PR-646)
- 2012 Timothy and Kentucky Bluegrass Report (PR-647)
- 2012 Annual and Perennial Ryegrass and Festulolium Report (PR-648)
- 2012 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report (PR-649)
- 2012 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report (PR-650)
- 2012 Cool-Season Grass Grazing Tolerance Report (PR-651)
- 2012 Cool-Season Grass Horse Grazing Report (PR-652)
- 2012 Summer Annual Grass Report (PR-653)

About the Authors

S.R. Smith and G.D. Lacefield are Extension professors and G.L. Olson is a research specialist of Forages.

Table 2. Summary of Kentucky red clover yield trials 2000-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the named commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington										
		00^{1,2}	00	01	02	03	04	06	08	09	10	11
		3yr⁴	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	2yr
AA117ER	ABI Alfalfa								110			
Acclaim	Allied Seed				92							
Arlington	WI Agr. Exp.Sta.				72							
Belle	Agribiotech	88			82							
Cherokee	FL Agr. Exp. Sta.	78			65							
Cinnamon	FFR/Sou.St.	111			108							
Cinnamon Plus	FFR/Sou.St.					97		109	112	123	117	94
Common O	Public										96	94
Dominion	Seed Research of OR							102				
Duration	Cisco Co.		86	100								
Emarwan	Turf-Seed					91			117			
Freedom!	Barenbrug USA	108	105	127	123	96	118	91	100	108	106	109
Freedom!MR	Barenbrug USA				118	115	102	114	114			112
FSG 9601	Allied Seed						89					
Impact	Specialty Seeds	106	97									
Juliet	Caudill Seed									84		
Kenland (cert.)	KY Ag.Exp Sta.	110	111	127	139	118	117	117	99	111	99	116
Kenland (uncert)	Public										82	
Kenstar	KY Ag.Exp Sta.		105									
Kenton	KY Ag.Exp Sta.	100	93	119	109	90	95	112	121			
Kenway	KY Ag.Exp Sta.	106	104	111	134		97	119	118			
Morning Star	Cal/West Seeds											
Plus	Allied Seed	113			113							
Plus II	Allied Seed								130			
Prima	Public	92			74							
Quinequeli	Caudill Seed								92			
Red Gold	Proseeds Marketing						81					
Red Gold Plus	Turner Seed		97	97			95					
RedlanGraze	ABI Alfalfa	95										
RedlanGraze II	Americas Alfalfa			91	104							
Redland Max	ABI Alfalfa						95					
Restart	Syngenta	102			78							
Robust	Scott Seed	92										
Robust II	Seed Research of OR											
Rocket	Seed Research of OR											
Rojo Diablo	Great Plains			99								
Royal Red	FFR/Sou.St.	108	92		91							
Rustler	Oregro Seeds							83		101	87	
Scarlet	Dairyland	95										
Sienna	Great Plains			91								
Solid	Production Service	97	102		98	84		79				
Starfire	Ampac Seed	97	93		99							
Starfire II	Cal/West & Ampac								101		111	
Triple Trust 350	ABI Alfalfa						101					
Vesna	DLF-Jenks			53								
Wildcat	Brett Young Seeds									101		

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2000 was harvested three years, so the final report would be "2002 Red and White Clover Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

⁴ Number of years of data.

continued on next page

Table 2. continued

Variety	Princeton						Quicksand					Eden Shale				Mean ³ (#trials)	
	00	03	05	08	09	11	01	03	05	08	10	00	03	08	10		
	3yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr		
AA117ER			87						92							96(3)	
Acclaim																—	
Arlington																—	
Belle																85(2)	
Cherokee																72(2)	
Cinnamon																110(2)	
Cinnamon Plus			112	102	102	100			103	108	124			108	122	109(15)	
Common O										72					77	85(4)	
Dominion			95	102					93					109		100(5)	
Duration						106										97(3)	
Emarwan					106		101				99					103(5)	
Freedom!	105	110	136	107	116	95	111	103	119	106	115	102	102	100	140	110(26)	
Freedom!MR		106	101		108			94	111		128		118		125	112(14)	
FSG 9601																—	
Impact	98															100(3)	
Juliet				93	90									84	59	82(5)	
Kenland (cert.)	104	102	92	113	106	106	111	88	105	104	123	104	98	110	138	110(26)	
Kenland (uncert)					74			83				67			66	92	77(6)
Kenstar	104															105(2)	
Kenton	98	95	105	112	94			93	99	106	98		102	98		102(19)	
Kenway	100		94	106	103			100		103	94		102			106(15)	
Morning Star					90									90		90(2)	
Plus													97			108(3)	
Plus II										97						114(2)	
Prima																83(2)	
Quinequeli					80										57	76(3)	
Red Gold				89										102		91(3)	
Red Gold Plus	95						98					98				97(6)	
RedlanGraze																—	
RedlanGraze II							93									96(3)	
Redland Max																—	
Restart																90(2)	
Robust																—	
Robust II			110											108		109(2)	
Rocket				106										108		107(2)	
Rojo Diablo						101										100(2)	
Royal Red													96			97(4)	
Rustler									94	99					104	95(6)	
Scarlet																—	
Sienna						106										99(2)	
Solid	98	87	86						76			105	84			91(11)	
Starfire	98											95				96(5)	
Starfire II				112						110	112			115	111	110(7)	
Triple Trust 350			92						92							95(3)	
Vesna							96									75(2)	
Wildcat					107							98				102(3)	

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 3. Summary of Kentucky alfalfa yield trials 2000-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹										Lexington				Princeton				Bowling Green ²				Eden Shale			
		FD		Bw	Fw	An	PRR	APR	004.5	02	04	06	08	01	05	08	09	03	06	03	06	03	Mean ⁶	# trials ⁵			
									5yr	5yr	5yr	5yr	5yr	5yr	5yr	5yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	100(2)	106(2)	-	
A-4440	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	107			
A 5225	Producers Choice	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
Abilene +Z	America's Alf.	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
AC Longview	Newfield Seeds	-	HR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	83										
Adrenalin	Brett Young	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
AmeriGraze 401+Z	America's Alf.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
Ameristand 403T	America's Alf.	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	100	92	97	100	102	102	102	100(5)			
Ameristand 403T Plus	America's Alf.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	100	92	97	100	102	102	102	100(5)			
Ameristand 407TQ	America's Alf.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
Anchormate	ProSeed Marketing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100										
Arc (certified)	Public	4	LR	MR	HR	-	-	-	91	96	76	-	-	-	-	-	99	95	86	98	98	98	98	92(7)			
Archer III	America's Alf.	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	100										
Baralfa 53HR	Batenbrug USA	5	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
Buffalo	Public	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90	82	87	85	95	78	92	81	95	87(9)	
DK 140	Monsanto	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	95										
DKA-41-18RR	Monsanto	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	104										
DKA 43-13	Monsanto	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	103										
DKA 50-18	Monsanto	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	108										
Dynagro Everlast	United Agr. Prod.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	101										
Enforcer	FFR/Sou. St.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	90										
Escalade	Allied Seeds	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	90										
Evermore	FFR/Sou. St.	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	90										
Expedition	Syngenta Seeds	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	107	111	96								
Feast +EV	Gairt Seeds	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Fortress	Syngenta	3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	96										
FSG 406	Allied Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
FSG 408DP	Allied Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	105										
FSG 505	Allied Seeds	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	105										
FSG 528SF	Lewis Seed Co.	5	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Geneva	Syngenta	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Genoa	Syngenta	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	105	101	103	103(3)							
GH 744	Golden Harvest	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	107	111	96								
HybridForce 400	Dairyland	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Integrity	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
KingFisher 243	Cal/West	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
L447HD	Legacy Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Legendairy 5.0	Croplan Genetics	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106	99	103								
Magnum V	Dairyland	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Magnum V-wet	Dairyland	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Mariner III	Allied Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
Mountaineer 2.0	Croplan Gen.	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	108										
Pegasus	FFR/Sou. St.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	95										
Perform	Dairyland Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	106										
PGI 459	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	101										
Phirst	UniSouth Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	105										
Phoenix	FFR/Sou. St.	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	113	100	101	101	101	101	101	96	104(5)		

continued on next page

Table 3. continued

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹										Mean ⁶ (# trials)							
		Disease Resistance ³																	
		FD	Bw	Fw	An	PRR	APH	0yr	4yr	5yr	6yr	08	01	05	08	09	03	06	Bowling Green ²
Radiance HD	Ampac Seed/Cisco	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	98							
Radiant-AM	Ampac Seed	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	103							
Rebound 5.0	Cropian Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	MR	MR	MR								
Regal	Great Plains	5	HR	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R								
Reward II	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R								
Rushmore	Syngenta Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	95							
Saranac AR (certified)	Public	4	MR	R	LR	—	93	87	77	88	89	92	95	88	98	99	89	95	91(12)
Summer Gold	Beck's Hybrids	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	107							
Syngenta 6422Q	Syngenta Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
Triple Crown	FFR/Sou. St.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	102							
TripleTrust 450	ABl Alfalfa	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
USG 681HY	UniSouth Genetics	6	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
ValuePlus 1	Forage Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	106									
Vernal	Public	2	R	MR	—	—	—	—	93										
Withstand	FFR/Sou. St.	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
WL 319HQ	W-L Research	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	108							
WL 327	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	105							
WL 338SR	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	101							
WL 342	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
WL 343HQ	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	99	90	100					
WL 348AP	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	113							
WL 355RR	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
WL 357HQ	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	102							
WL 363HQ	W-L Research	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	100	106	100					
4m76	FFR/Sou. St.	4.7	HR	R	R	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	116							
5-star	Cropian Gen.	5	R	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R								
5312	Public	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	103							
53H81	Pioneer	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	123							
54V46	Pioneer	4	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	104							
54V54	Pioneer	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
54V56	Pioneer	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
6400HT	Garst Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	108							
6415	Garst Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	103							
6417	Garst Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	106							
6420	Garst Seeds	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	R	R	R	R	106							
6530	Garst Seeds	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR								
6552	Garst Seeds	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	104							

¹ Variety characteristics: FD=fall dormancy, Bw=bacterial wilt, Fw=fusarium wilt, An=anthracnose, PRR=phytophthora root rot, APH=aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed companies.

² The Bowling Green test is on soil infested with phytophthora and aphanomyces root rots.

³ Disease resistance: S=susceptible, LR=low resistance, MR=moderate resistance, R=resistance, HR=high resistance.

⁴ Year trial was established.

⁵ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2002 was harvested for five years, so the final yield report would be "2006 Alfalfa Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Agric/Forage>.

⁶ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁷ Number of years of data.

Table 4. Summary of Kentucky tall fescue yield trials 1999-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington						Princeton						Quicksand						Mean ³ (#trials)
		991/2	01	03	05	07	09	98	00	02	04	06	08	10	99	01	03	05		
		2yr ⁴	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr		
Atlas	ProSeeds Marketing	107																	98(2)	
Atlas Select	ProSeeds Marketing																		—	
Aprilia	ProSeeds Marketing																		—	
BarElite	Barenbrug USA																		92(2)	
Bariane	Barenbrug USA																		94(3)	
Barolex	Barenbrug USA																		—	
BarOptima PLUS E34	Barenbrug USA																		106(3)	
BAR 9 TMPO	Barenbrug USA	96																	97(2)	
Bronson	Ampac Seed																		99(5)	
Bull	Improved Forages																		101(5)	
Cajun II	Smith Seed Services																		—	
Carmine	DLF International	99																	98(2)	
Cowgirl	Rose-Agriseeds																		100(2)	
DLF-B	DLF International	96																	—	
DuraMax GOLD	DLF International																		—	
Enhance	Allied Seed																		—	
Estancia/ArkShield	Mountain View Seeds																		102(2)	
Festival	Pickseed West																		105(3)	
Fuego	Advanta Seeds	99																	—	
Goliath	Ampac Seed																		101(2)	
Hoedown	DLF International	104																	105(2)	
HyMark	Fraser Seeds																		—	
Jesup EF	Pennington Seed																		107(2)	
Jesup MaxQ	Pennington Seed																		88(2)	
Johnstone	ProSeeds Marketing	95	108																99(3)	
KENHY	KY Agric Exp Sta.																		—	
Kentucky 32	Oregro Seeds																		98(2)	
Kokanee	Ampac Seed																		88(2)	
KY 31+5	KY Agric Exp Sta.	102	118	112	108	105	102	122	108	104	93	115	107	124	98	110	108(16)			
Maximize	Turf-Seed	96	95																97(4)	

continued on next page

Table 4. continued

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington						Princeton						Quicksand						Mean ³ (#trials)
		99 ^{1,2}	01	03	05	07	09	98	00	02	04	06	08	10	99	01	03	05		
		2yr ⁴	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	4yr		
Nannyo	Jap. Grassland ForageSeed/USDA AARS, El Reno, OK																		-	
Noria	ProSeeds Marketing																		-	
RAD-ERF50	Radix Research, Inc.																		-	
Resolute	Ampac Seed	90																	78(2)	
Savory	DLF International																		-	
Seine	Advanta Seeds	99																	98(2)	
Select	FFR/Sou. St.	106	106	94	99	102	98	105	105	97	105	102	105	96	107	112	102	91	102(17)	
Stockman	Seed Research of OR																		103(4)	
Texoma MaxQ II	Pennington Seed																		-	
TF0203G	Seed Research of OR																		-	
TF33	Barenbrug USA																		-	
Tuscany	Forage Genetics																		-	
Tuscany II	Seed Research of OR																		-	
Vulcan	International Seeds																		-	
5CAN	Brett Young																		-	

¹ Year trial was established.² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1999 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2001 Tall Fescue Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.⁴ Number of years of data.⁵ KY31+ contains the toxic endophyte. Jesup MaxQ, Texoma MaxQ II, DuraMax GOLD and Estancia/Arkshield contain a non-toxic endophyte. BarOptima PLUS E34 contains a beneficial endophyte. The other fescue varieties in this table do not contain an endophyte.

Table 5. Summary of Kentucky orchardgrass yield trials 1999-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington					
		1999^{1,2}	2001	2003	2006	2007	2009
		2yr³	2yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	3yr
Abertop	Pennington						
Albert	Univ. of Wis.		103				
Amba	DLF International Seeds		96				
Ambassador	DLF International Seeds						
Ambrosia	American Grass Seed Prod.						
Athos	DLF International Seeds		98				
Benchmark	FFR/Sou. St.	103					
Benchmark Plus	FFR/Sou. St.				100	108	105
Boone	Public						
Bronc	Grassland West						
Bounty	Allied Seed				101		
Century	Seed Research of Oregon				98		
Checkmate	Seed Research of Oregon					102	
Christoss	Proseeds Marketing					92	
Command	Seed Research of Oregon						
Crown	Donley Seed	101					97
Crown Royale	Donley Seed						
Crown Royale Plus	Donley Seed						
Eastwood	Ampac Seed		86				
Elsie	Rose-AgriSeed						
Endurance	DLF International Seeds						
Extend	Allied Seed						
Hallmark	James VanLeeuwen		102	102			
Harvestar	Columbia Seeds				91	97	
Haymaster	FFR/Sou. St.				94		
Haymate	FFR/Sou. St.	106					
Icon	Seed Research of Oregon				105		
Intensiv	Barenbrug			102			
Lazuly	Proseeds Marketing						
LG-31	DLF International Seeds						
Mammoth	DLF International Seeds		102				
Megabite	Turf-Seed	94	105				
Niva	DLF International Seeds						
Paiute	DLF International Seeds					108	
Persist	Smith Seed			123	105	106	107
Potomac	Public	104					103
Prairie	Turner Seed		101		107	101	109
Prodigy	Caudill Seed						101
Profit	Ampac Seed					107	96
RAD-LCF 25	Radix Research						
Renegade	Grassland West						
Shawnee	Rose-AgriSeed						
Shiloh	Proseeds Marketing						
Shiloh II	Proseeds Marketing						
Spanish Pink	DLF International Seeds						
Spanish Red	DLF International Seeds	101					
Takena	Smith Seed		107				
Tekena II	Smith Seed			110	102		
Tekapo	Ampac Seed	88			91	81	82
Tucker	Oregro Seeds						
Udder	Improved Forages			100	107		
Vailliant	Proseeds Marketing					96	
Vision	Cropmark Seeds			63			

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1999 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2001 Orchardgrass Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Number of years of data.

continued on next page

Table 5. continued

Variety	Princeton							Quicksand					Mean ⁴ (#trials)
	1998 2yr	2000 2yr	2002 3yr	2004 3yr	2006 3yr	2008 3yr	2010 2yr	1999 2yr	2001 2yr	2003 3yr	2005 4yr	2010 2yr	
Abertop			71										—
Albert									106				105(2)
Amba									80				88(2)
Ambassador			95										—
Ambrosia				90									—
Athos								105					102(2)
Benchmark	101	97	113					106					104(5)
Benchmark Plus			107		107	104	99			107	102	91	103(10)
Boone	103	104											104(2)
Bronc		98											—
Bounty										98			100(2)
Century										104			101(2)
Checkmate													—
Christoss													—
Command			87										—
Crown	105		101			105		97					101(6)
Crown Royale									110				—
Crown Royale Plus		108								97			103(2)
Eastwood									86				86(2)
Elsie						98							—
Endurance				104									—
Extend			100				103					109	—
Hallmark		103	98						101	96			100(6)
Harvestar				106							100		99(4)
Haymaster											97		96(2)
Haymate	93	100	106					108	104	103			103(7)
Icon											98		102(2)
Intensiv													—
Lazuly						97							—
LG-31			92										—
Mammoth									104				103(2)
Megabite					106			101					102(4)
Niva			81										—
Paiute													—
Persist			101				105			108	101	96	106(9)
Potomac			98			108	102	99				94	100(7)
Prairie	95	104		100	104	95			102	105	107	118	104(13)
Prodigy					103								102(2)
Profit						103	104					119	106(5)
RAD-LCF 25							101					107	104(2)
Renegade		95											—
Shawnee						86							—
Shiloh	109												—
Shiloh II				117									—
Spanish Pink	82												—
Spanish Red								94					98(2)
Takena			100						108				105(3)
Tekena II				109						106	104		106(5)
Tekapo					98	86	93	94	92	105	91	81	90(12)
Tucker					96	102	99					86	96(4)
Udder		102	102							106	99		103(6)
Vailliant													—
Vision										67			65(2)

⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 6. Summary of Kentucky timothy yield trials 2000-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington							Quicksand		Princeton		Mean ³ (#trials)
		001,2	01	02	06	07	08	09	99	01	00	04	
		2yr ⁴	3yr	4yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	
Alma	Newfield Seeds Co/Caudill Seed Co.											81	—
Auroro	General Feed and Grain	100							97				99(2)
Barfleo	Barenbrug USA							95					—
Barpenta	Barenbrug USA					74							—
Clair	Ky Agric. Exp. Station		108	113	107	95	107	104		104		122	108(8)
Classic	Cebeco International Seeds	100		86					86				91(3)
Climax	Canada Agr. Res. Station				79	102	104	98					96(4)
Colt	FFR Cooperative	105		100	90				112			99	101(5)
Common	Public		95										—
Derby	FFR Cooperative				112	111		106				124	113(4)
Dolina	DLF-Trifolium	99		90									95(2)
Express	Seed Research of Oregon				95		91	97					94(3)
Hokuei	Snow Brand Seed	103											—
Hokusei	Snow Brand Seed	96							98				97(2)
Joliette	Newfield Seeds Co/Caudill Seed Co.						86	89				90	88(3)
Jonaton	Newfield Seeds Co/Caudill Seed Co.											84	—
KY Early	Central Farm Supply	102	103	115			102		104	105			105(6)
Outlaw	Grassland West Company										107		—
Richmond	Pickseed Canada Inc.	100							103				102(2)
Summit	Allied Seed, L.L.C.			112									—
Talon	Seed Research of Oregon				110	112		108					110(3)
Treasure	Seed Research of Oregon					103	115	103					107(3)
Tundra	DLF-Trifolium	95											—
Tuukka	Ampac Seed Company		94	88						91	93		92(4)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2000 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2002 Timothy Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

Table 7. Summary of Kentucky bluegrass yield trials 1996-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington								Princeton	Mean ³ (#trials)
		96 ^{1,2}	03	04	06	07	08	09	10		
		3yr ⁴	2yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	3yr	3yr	2yr		
Adam 1	Radix Research			98							-
Barberby	Barenbrug USA				94			101	85	114	99(4)
BigBlue	Rose-AgriSeed							82			-
Common	Public			71	66	68					68(3)
Ginger	ProSeeds Marketing		89		118	119	114	118	112		112(6)
Kenblue	Public	90		102	133				104		107(4)
Lato	Turf Seed Inc.	110				122					116(2)
RAD-5	Radix Research			103							-
RAD-339	Radix Research				101						-
RAD-643	Radix Research				94						-
RAD-731zx	Radix Research				87						-
RAD-762	Radix Research				94						-
RAD-1039	Radix Research						118				-
Slezanka	DLF International Seeds		111								-

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2004 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2006 Timothy and Kentucky Bluegrass Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>. The 96 and 03 Lexington and 02 Princeton results are in the appropriate Tall Fescue Reports.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

Table 8. Summary of Kentucky annual ryegrass yield trials 1999–2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington ¹												Princeton						Bowling Green		
			992.3	01	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	10	11	11	11	00	02	04	00	03	Mean ⁴ (#trial(s))	
Abundant	tetraploid	Ampac Seed							26	244												—	
Acrobat	Westervold tetraploid	Proseeds Marketing									95											—	
AE110	Westervold tetraploid	Pickseed USA, Inc.										99										—	
Andy	Westervold tetraploid	DLF International	112	105																		105(3)	
Angus I	Westervold tetraploid	DLF International																				—	
Attain	Westervold tetraploid	Smith Seed Services									113											—	
Aurelia	Italian tetraploid	Forage Genetics	120																			125(2)	
Avance	Westervold diploid	DLF International	113																			111(2)	
Bar extra	Italian tetraploid	Barenbrug USA																				—	
Barnultra II	Italian	Barenbrug USA																				—	
Big Boss	Westervold tetraploid	Smith Seed Services										99										—	
Big Daddy	Westervold tetraploid	FFR/Sou. St.	87	86								88	102	87	90	85						91(8)	
Brangus	Italian diploid	KB SeedsSolutions										96										—	
Bruiser	Westervold diploid	Ampac Seed										111	104	102	110							107(4)	
Common	Italian tetraploid	Public										106	45		95							88(4)	
DH-3	Italian tetraploid	Allied Seed																				82(3)	
Diamond T	Italian tetraploid	Oregro Seeds	18																			—	
Domino	Italian tetraploid	DLF International																				—	
Ed	Westervold diploid	Smith Seed Services										98										—	
Fantastic	Westervold diploid	Ampac Seed	83									105	98									92(4)	
Feast	Italian tetraploid	Ampac Seed	90									59	112	111	86							98(6)	
Feast II	Italian tetraploid	Ampac Seed	98									85	100									—	
Flying A	Westervold diploid	Oregro Seeds																				—	
Fox	Italian diploid	DLF International																				—	
Fria	Westervold diploid	Allied Seed																				95(2)	
GRAS10	Italian	Ampac Seed																				—	
Graze-N-Gro	Westervold diploid	Seed Research of OR	105							78												96(4)	
Gulf	Westervold diploid	Public	72							78	44	86	79		81	77	57	86				74(10)	
Hercules	Westervold tetraploid	Barenbrug USA	114																			112(2)	
HS-1	Italian diploid	KB SeedsSolutions										73										—	
Jackson	Westervold diploid	The Wax Co.																				—	
Jeanne	Italian tetraploid	DLF International	124																			104(2)	
Jumbo	Westervold tetraploid	Barenbrug USA	103																			—	
KB Royal	Italian diploid	KB SeedsSolutions										84										—	
King	Westervold diploid	Lewis Seed	92																			—	
Marshall	Westervold diploid	The Wax Co.	87	92	120	100	221	116	169	99	102	104	106	107	102	97	114	106	115(16)		—		
Maximo	Intermediate tetraploid	Pickseed USA, Inc.														107						—	
Monarque	Italian tetraploid	Seed Research of OR																				—	
MX108	Westervold tetraploid	Pickseed USA, Inc.																				—	
Nelson	Westervold tetraploid	The Wax Co.																				92(2)	
Passerel Plus	Westervold diploid	Pennington Seed																				—	
Primecut	Westervold brand	Oregro Seeds																				—	
																						—	

Table 8. continued

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington ¹												Princeton				Bowling Green		
			99 ² 3	01	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	11	00	02	04	00	00	03	
Rio	Westerwold diploid	88																100	97	102	
Spark	tetraploid	DLF International	87																83	83	
Stockaid	diploid																			85(2)	
Striker	Westerwold tetraploid	Seed Research of ORR																		—	
TAMTBO	Italian tetraploid	Tex. Ag Exp Sta.																		—	
Tam 90	Italian diploid	Tex. Ag Exp Sta.																		99(3)	
TetraPro	Italian tetraploid	Tex. Ag Exp Sta.																		84(2)	
Tetrelite II	Intermediate	DLF International																		—	
TillageRootMax	Westerwold diploid	Cover Crop Solutions																		—	
TillageMax-Bristol	Westerwold diploid	Cover Crop Solutions																		—	
TillageMax-INDY	Westerwold diploid	Cover Crop Solutions																		—	
T-Rex	Westerwold tetraploid	SaddleButte																		—	
Verdure	Westerwold tetraploid	Smith Seed Services																		—	
Winterhawk	Westerwold diploid	Oregro Seeds																		115(2)	
Winter Star	Italian tetraploid	Ampac Seed	87																	92(2)	
Zoro	Italian tetraploid	DLF International	120	127																126(5)	

¹ In annual ryegrass, low yielding varieties usually result from winterkill. Note: Due to severe winterkill, yield results from the 2006 planting were not included in the overall mean.² Year trial was established.³ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1999 was harvested one year, so the final report would be '2000 Annual and Perennial Ryegrass Report' archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/AgrForage>.⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 9. Summary of Kentucky perennial ryegrass yield trials 1999-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	Lexington										Princeton				Bowling Green		Mean ^{3,4} (#trials)
			99 ^{1,2}	01	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	00	02	00	03	2yr	3yr	2yr
Aires	diploid	Ampac Seed		95													93		94(2)
Amazon	tetraploid	AgriBioTech	108		99												107		104(3)
Anaconda	tetraploid	Caudill Seed	113										95		103				104(3)
Aubisque	tetraploid	Seed Research of OR		144													99		122(2)
Bandit	tetraploid	Grassland West											106		114				110(2)
Bastion C-2	tetraploid	Seed Research of OR			91									113	107	120			-
Bestfor	tetraploid	Improved Forages																	113(3)
Best for Plus	hybrid tetraploid	Improved Forages		116	108	118												136	120(4)
BG-34	diploid	Barenbrug USA					83	85				86							85(3)
Bison	hybrid tetraploid	International Seeds																140	-
Boost	tetraploid	Allied Seed							130	125	120	143							130(4)
Boxer	tetraploid	AgriBioTech	121											106					114(2)
Calibra	tetraploid	DLF International							96	109	81			112					99(4)
CAS MP64	diploid	Cascade International		97															-
Citadel	tetraploid	Ag Canada	101										94	113	103				103(4)
Derby		Public														74			-
Eurostar	tetraploid	Seed Research of OR							112										-
Feeder	diploid	Seed Research of OR							76										-
Granddaddy	tetraploid	Smith Seed		118				101	109		76	92		111					101(6)
Green Gold	tetraploid	Grasslands Oregon					96												-
Herbal		ProSeeds Marketing							77										-
Impressario	tetraploid	DLF International									107								-
Lactal	tetraploid	Brett Young									102								-
Lasso	diploid	DLF International		98															-
Linn	diploid	Public	87	98	98	102		98	85	84	101	92	87	88	77			91(12)	
Manhattan	diploid													85					-
Mara	diploid	Barenbrug USA												85					-
Matrix	diploid	Cropmark seeds			77										64				-
Maverick Gold	hybrid tetraploid	Ampac Seed		97										71					84(2)
Orantas	diploid	DLF International								82									-
Ortet	tetraploid	Oregro Seeds							114										-
Polly II	tetraploid	FFR/Sou. St.	104											110		125			113(3)
Polly Plus	hybrid tetraploid	Allied Seed			64											60			62(2)
Power	tetraploid	Ampac Seed							110	103	102	100							104(4)
Polim	tetraploid	DLF International									106								-
Quartermaster	tetraploid	Radix Research					122												-
Quartet	tetraploid	Ampac Seed		97			56		46					113					78(4)
RAD-CPS212	hybrid tetraploid	Radix Research				134				120									-
RAD-MI125	hybrid tetraploid	Mountain View Seeds																	-
Sampson	diploid	International Seeds	87							120									-
Sierra	diploid	Lewis Seed Co.					89												-
Tonga	tetraploid	Kings AgriSeeds					96				103								100(2)
Yatsyn	diploid	Barenbrug USA	80											89					85(2)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1999 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2001 Annual and Perennial Ryegrass Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ In perennial ryegrass, low yielding varieties usually result from winterkill or summer mortality.

⁵ Number of years of data.

Table 10. Summary of Kentucky festulolium yield trials 1999-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).¹

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington								Princeton	Quicksand	Mean⁴ (#trials)	
		1999^{2,3}	2001	2003	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010	2000	2001	2003	
		2yr⁵	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	
Agula	Allied Seed								90				-
Barfest	Barenbrug USA								107				-
Bonus	Allied Seed								86				-
Duo	Ampac Seed	104			84		103	99	93				97(5)
Felina	DLF International		101						101				101(2)
Fojtan	DLF International								84				-
Gain	Allied Seed								94				-
Hykor	DLF International			98					100			98	99(3)
Lofa	DLF International								106				-
Perseus	DLF International								129				-
Perun	DLF International								117				-
Spring Green	Turf-Seed		88		105	100	114	101	106		97		102(7)
Sweet Tart	ProSeeds Marketing						88		88				88(2)
Vorage	Improved Forages									99			-

¹ The festuloliums were in fescue trials from 1999-2005.

² Year trial was established.

³ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties. To find actual yields, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1999 was harvested two years, so the final report would be "2001 Tall Fescue Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

⁴ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁵ Number of years of data.

Table 11. Summary of Kentucky sudangrass yield trials 2008-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington					Mean³ (#trials)
		2008^{1,2}	2009	2010	2011	2012	
All trials are 1 year yields							
AS9301	Alta Seeds/Ramer Seed					118	—
Enorma BMR	Cal/West Seeds			99	94	92	95(3)
Hayking BMR	Central Farm Supply	111	112	91	97	97	102(5)
Monarch V	Public	104	96	102	97	93	98(5)
Piper	Public	90	91	97	94	104	95(5)
ProMax BMR	Ampac Seed	95	101	110	115	96	103(5)
SS130 BMR	Cal/West Seeds			101	103		102(2)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 12. Summary of Kentucky sorghum-sudangrass yield trials 2008-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Lexington					Mean³ (#trials)
		2008^{1,2}	2009	2010	2011	2012	
All trials are 1 year yields							
AS6402	Alta Seeds/Ramer Seed					91	—
FSG 208 BMR	Farm Science Genetics			75			—
Greengrazer V	Farm Science Genetics			166			—
GW300 BMR	Gayland Ward Seed				88	78	83(2)
GW 2120	Gayland Ward Seed					83	—
HyGain	Turner Seed	104	105	118			109(3)
MS 202 BMR	Farm Science Genetics			106			—
NutraPlus BMR	Cisco	106	97	94	103	106	101(5)
Special Effort	Cisco	109	110	93	94	115	104(5)
SS211	Southern States				104	93	99(2)
SS220 BMR	Southern States		107	84		112	101(3)
Surpass BMR-6	Turner Seed	81	80	64			75(3)
Super Sugar	Gayland Ward Seed				102	117	110(2)
Sweet-For-Ever	Gayland Ward Seed				110	107	109(2)
Sweet-For-Ever BMR	Gayland Ward Seed					78	—
Vita-Cane	Gayland Ward Seed					121	—

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 13. Summary of Kentucky teff yield trials 2008-2012 (yield shown as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Princeton		Lexington					Mean³ (#trials)
	2008^{1,2}	2009	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
All trials are 1 year yields								
Corvallis	94	112	81	101	91	101	96	97(7)
Dessie	102	87	99	92	96	94	95	95(7)
Excaliber	109	111	109	104	125	108	106	110(7)
Highveld	111	115	100	121	106	101	109	109(7)
HorseCandi	91	84	99	105	89	108	94	96(7)
Pharaoh	95	101	105	85	106	106	97	99(7)
Rooiberg	102	107	112	109	113	108	115	109(7)
Summer Delight		90		91	96	88	93	92(5)
Tiffany	102	106	102	93	82	93	102	97(7)
VA T1 Brown		89		99	87	91	94	92(5)
Velvet		94		100	97	98	95	97(5)
Witkope	94	100	93	101	115	103	101	101(7)

¹ Establishment year.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific tables in this report to determine statistical differences in forage yield between varieties.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

Table 14. Summary of Kentucky white clover grazing trials 2002-2012 (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	2002^{1,2}	2004	2006³	2006	2008⁴	2008	2009	2010	Mean⁵ (#trials)
			2yr⁶	4yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	2yr	
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		59	98						79(2)
Barblanca	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		118	91	151					120(3)
Colt	Intermediate	Seed Research of OR		114	134	122					123(3)
Crescendo	Ladino	Cal/West	84			72					78(2)
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington		83	105	103		115	97	126	105(6)
GWC-AS10	–	Ampac Seed								98	–
Insight	Ladino	Allied Seed				77					–
Ivory	Intermediate	DLF International	132	142							137(2)
Ivory II	Intermediate	DLF International					102				–
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed			77	122	96		74	116	97(5)
KY Select	Intermediate	KY Agr Ex. Sta./Saddle Butte						105		81	93(2)
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington		110	137	122		100	113	119	117(6)
Rampart	–	Oregro Seeds						90			–
Regal	Ladino	Public	92		57	54		93		116	82(5)
Regal Graze	Ladino	Cal/West			84	87	105	90	97	74	89(6)
Resolute	Intermediate	FFR/Southern States			101	106					104(2)
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.		75		97	91				88(3)
Tillman II	Ladino	Caudill Seed	92								–
WBDX	Dutch	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.								63	–
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed			117	87	107	105	118	109	107(6)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the trial planted in 2002 was grazed for two years so the final persistence report would be "2004 Red and White Clover Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ This trial was replanted in the spring of 2006 due to poor establishment in the fall of 2005.

⁴ This trial was replanted in the spring of 2008 due to poor establishment in the fall of 2007.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 15. Summary of Kentucky alfalfa grazing trials 1994-2012 (stand persistence shown as a percent of the grazing tolerant Alfagraze).

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹										Lexington																			
		FD		Bw		Fw		An		Disease Resistance ²		1994 ^{3,4}		1996		1997		2000		2001		2004		2005		2006		2008		2009	
		2	HR	PRR	APH	3yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr									
ABT 205	W-L Research	2	HR	94	94	84	84	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	89(2)								
ABT 350	W-L Research	3	HR	—	—	—	—	46	46	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	—								
ABT 405	W-L Research	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	83(5)								
Alfagraze	Americas Alfalfa	2	MR	R	MR	R	MR	R	MR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	100(12)		
Amerigraze 401+7	Americas Alfalfa	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	78(6)								
Ameristand 403T	Americas Alfalfa	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	112(3)								
Ameristand 403TPlus	Americas Alfalfa	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Ameristand 4071Q	Americas Alfalfa	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Apollo	Americas Alfalfa	4	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Arc (certified)	Public	4	LR	MR	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Archer III	Americas Alfalfa	5	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Baralifa 54	Barenbrug USA	—	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	HR	HR	HR	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Cut-n-Graze	Americas Alfalfa	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
FK 421	Donley Seed Co.	4	HR	H	H	H	H	H	H	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Feast	Garst Seeds	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Fortress	Syngenta	3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Gold Plus	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Grazeking	FFR/Southern States	5	MR	MR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	61(3)		
Haygrazer	Great Plains Research	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	51(3)								
Integrity	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Legacy	Green Seed	4	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Legendairy 5.0	Croplan Genetics	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0								
Magnagraze	Dairyland Seed Co.	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Pasture Plus	MBS	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Pioneer 98	Pioneer	3	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
PGI 459	Producers Choice	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	30								
ProGro	MBS Inc.	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Quantum	ABI Alfalfa	2	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Rebel	Target Seed	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Rugged	Target Seed	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Rushmore	Syngenta	4	MR	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Saranac AR (cert.)	Public	4	MR	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Spredor 3	Syngenta	1	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—									
Spredor 4	Syngenta	2	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—									
Stampede	Allied Seed	3	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
TS 4010/A4535	Producers Choice	4	HR	R	R	R	R	R	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Triple Trust 450	ABI/America's Alfalfa	5	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
Wintergreen	ABI Alfalfa	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
WL 326GZ	W-L Research	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
115 Brand	Monsanto	3	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
5373	Pioneer	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								
5432	Pioneer	4	HR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—								

¹ Variety characteristics: FD=fall dormancy, Bw=bacterial wilt, Fw=fusarium wilt, An=anthracnose, PRH=aphanomyces root rot, APH=aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed companies.

² Disease resistance: S=susceptible, L=low resistance, M=moderate resistance, R=high resistance.

³ Year trial was established.

⁴ Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1996 was grazed for three years so final persistence would be '1999 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report' archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

Table 16. Summary of 1996-2012 Kentucky tall fescue grazing tolerance trials (stand persistence shown as a percent of the stand rating of KY 31+).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington								Princeton	2002	2009	Mean ³ (#trials)
		1996 ^{1,2}	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003				
		3yr ⁴	4yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	-
Advance MaxQ	Pennington Seed												
Bariane	Barenbrug USA	92											60(4)
Barcel	Barenbrug USA												-
BarElite	Barenbrug USA												-
Barolex	Barenbrug USA												88(3)
BarOptima PLUS E34	Barenbrug USA												99(2)
BAR97MPO	Barenbrug USA	75											-
Bronson	Ampac Seed	39											69(2)
Cattle Club	Green Seed	37	98	70	93	91							78(2)
Carmine	DLF-Jenks												-
Cowgirl	Rose Agri-Seed												-
Dovey	Barenbrug USA	92											-
Festival	Pickseed West												89
Festorina	Advanta Seeds	98	86	57									97(3)
Fuego	Advanta Seeds	27											80(3)
Hoedown	DLF-Jenks												-
HyMark	Fraiser Seeds												-
Jesup EF	Pennington Seed	63	91										-
Jesup MaxQ	Pennington Seed	114	79										-
Johnstone	Proseeds	65	107										88(3)
KY31+ ⁵	KY Agri. Exp Sta.	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100(15)
KY31-5	KY Agri. Exp Sta.	94	90	102	84	98	103	98	100	82	100	98	97(14)
Kenny	Public												-
Kokane	Ampac Seed												-
Martin II	International Seeds	59											-
Maximize	Rose Agri-Seed												-
Nanryo	Japanese Grassland For.Seed/ USPA-ARS,EReno,OK												-
Orygun													-
Resolute	Ampac Seed												-
Select	FFR/Sou. St.	109	69	107	101	100	100	67	100	93	95	98	95(12)
Southern Cross		25											-
Stargrazer	FFR/Sou. St.	90											79(4)
Stockman	Seed Res. of OR												-
TF33	Barenbrug USA												-
Tuscany II	Seed Res. of OR												-
Verdant	AmGrass Seed												-
Vulcan	International Seeds												-

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1997 was grazed four years so the final report would be 2001 Coo-Season Grass Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

⁵ KY 31- is the variety KY31 from which the toxic endophyte has been removed. KY31+ contains the toxic endophyte. Jesup MaxQ and Advance MaxQ contain a non-toxic endophyte. BarOptima PLUS E34 contains a beneficial endophyte. The other fescue varieties in this table do not contain an endophyte.

Table 17. Summary of 1996-2012 Kentucky orchardgrass grazing tolerance trials (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	Lexington										Princeton	Mean ³ (#trials)	
		1996 ^{1,2}	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2007	2009	
		3yr ⁴	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr							
Abertop	Pennington Seed							38						-
Albert	Univ. of Wisconsin							115						-
Amba	DLF-Jenks							71						-
Ambrosia	Pennington Seed	90										94		92(2)
Athos	DLF-Jenks											60		77(2)
Benchmark	FFR/Sou. States	100	105	115	94	118	123	114					133	113(8)
Benchmark Plus	FFR/Sou. States							120				152	135	133
Boone	Public			131		102								117(2)
Cheyenne	Western Prod. Inc.			94										-
Command	Seed Research of OR											81		-
Crown	Donley Seed	86	96											91(2)
Crown Royale	Donley Seed					100								-
Crown Royale Plus	Donley Seed							124					83	104(2)
Hallmark	James VanLeeuwen	107	104	103				115	113				83	104(6)
Harvestar	Columbia Seeds											75		-
Haymate	FFR/Sou. States	93	71	102	96	53	115	100	118				83	92(9)
Intensiv	Barenbrug USA								51					-
Mammoth	DLF-Jenks													-
Megabite	Turf Seed													-
Niva	DLF-Jenks													-
Persist	Smith Seed													-
Pizza	Advanta Seeds	63												-
Potomac	Public	98						116	119				117	113(4)
Prairie	Turner Seed					127	121						83	110(3)
Profile	Scott Seed	98						116						107(2)
Profit	Ampac Seed											97		-
Progress	Scott Seed	111												-
Tekapo	Ampac Seed	93	166	92	104		55	74	118		50	103	99	96(11)
Takena	Smith Seed	81						99						90(2)
Seco	FFR/Sou. States											85		-
WP300	Western Prod. Inc.			94										-

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1997 was grazed four years so the final report would be "2001 Cool-Season Grass Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/AgrForage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data. Stand thinning may have been greater for preferred varieties due to closer grazing. See individual trial tables for preference ratings.

Table 18. Summary of 2000-2012 Kentucky perennial ryegrass and festulolium (FL) grazing tolerance trials (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor	2000^{1,2}	2001	2003	2005	2007	2008	Mean³ (#trials)
		4yr⁴	3yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	3yr	
AGRLP103	AgResearch USA	128		86				107(2)
Aries	Ampac Seed		139					—
BG 34	Barenbrug USA				1765	145 ⁵		185(2)
Boost	Allied Seed						101	—
Citadel	Donley Seed	107						—
Duo (FL)	Ampac Seed	116					95	106(2)
Granddaddy	Smith Seed Services		121			70		89(2)
Lasso	DLF-Jenks		130					—
Linn	Public	112	129	63			95	100(4)
Maverick	Ampac Seed		36					—
Polly II	FFR/Southern States	36	68					52(2)
Power	Ampac Seed					134		—
Quartet	Ampac Seed		77		63	50		60(3)
Remington	Barenbrug USA			151 ⁵				—
Spring Green (FL)	Rose Agri-Seed	101					109	105(2)
Tonga	Ampac Seed				61			—

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2000 was grazed four years so the final report would be "2004 Cool-Season Grass Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

⁵ Grazing tolerance values for these entries may have been elevated due to the low survival of the other commercial varieties in the trials for these years.

Table 19. Summary of 1999-2012 Kentucky tall fescue horse grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the stand rating of KY 31-).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	1999^{1,2}	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Mean³ (#trials)
		3yr⁴	4yr	3yr								
BarOptima PLUS E34	Barenbrug								107			—
Bronson	Ampac Seed	80										—
Cattle Club	Green Seed	95										—
Cowgirl	Rose Agri-Seed									105		—
Festolina	Advanta Seed	102										—
Jesup MaxQ	Pennington Seed			98			78			104	100	95(4)
Johnstone	ProSeeds		88									—
KY31+ ⁵	KY Agri. Exp.Sta.		105				102	109	120	107	101	107(6)
KY31- ⁵	KY Agri. Exp.Sta.	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100(10)
Nanryo	Japanese Grassland For. Seed/ USDA-ARS, El Reno, OK								72			—
Seine	Seed Research of OR					135						—
Select	FFR/Southern States	82		109	94	99	73	104	76	108	98	94(9)
Stargrazer	FFR/Southern States	70										—
Stockman	Seed Research of OR					125						—

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2001 was grazed four years so the final report would be "2005 Cool-Season Grass Horse Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

⁵ KY 31- is the variety KY31 from which the toxic endophyte has been removed. KY31+ contains the toxic endophyte. Jesup MaxQ contains a non-toxic endophyte. BarOptima PLUS E34 contains a beneficial endophyte. The other fescue varieties in this table do not contain an endophyte.

Table 20. Summary of 1999-2012 Kentucky orchardgrass horse grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the commercial varieties in the trial).

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	1999^{1,2}	2000	2001	2002	2005	2006	2009	Mean³ (#trials)
		3yr⁴	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	
Albert	Univ. of Wisconsin			95					—
Ambrosia	Amer.Grass Seed Prod.						61		—
Benchmark	FFR/Southern States	104			85				95(2)
Benchmark Plus	FFR/Southern States				111	157	139	103	127(4)
Crown Royale	Grassland Oregon			95					—
Crown Royale Plus	Grassland Oregon				97				—
Haymate	FFR/Southern States	96	85		97				93(3)
Persist	Smith Seed					114		98	106(2)
Potomac	Public				117				—
Prairie	Turner Seed			100					—
Profit	Ampac Seed							99	—
Tekapo	Ampac Seed	101	115		93	30		99	88(5)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific trial. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 2005 was grazed four years so the final report would be "2009 Cool-Season Grass Horse Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage Web site at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.



Mention or display of a trademark, proprietary product, or firm in text or figures does not constitute an endorsement and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products or firms.